Review of Pupil Premium Strategy 2017 - 2018: Laurel Avenue Community Primary School

1. Summary information	1. Summary information								
School	Laurel Av	aurel Avenue Community Primary School							
Academic Year	2017/18	17/18 Total PP budget £59,660 Date of Review July 2018							
Total number of pupils	88	Number of pupils eligible for PP	38 + 3 LAC + 3 SG = 44 (50%)						

School: 50% National: 25.2%

School Deprivation Indicator: 0.42 National Deprivation Indicator: 0.21

Please note, as we have relatively small year group sizes

♦ each child is worth a significant percentage of their cohort

♦ in particular year groups, a FSM bar may reflect a very small number of pupils in comparison to the NFSM bar making it very difficult to make meaningful comparisons

	Reception 14 pupils	Year 1 13 pupils	Year 2 15 pupils	Year 3 10 pupils	Year 4 16 pupils	Year 5 13 pupils	Year 6 7 pupils
Free School Meals	4: 29%	7: 54%	3: 20%	8: 80%	11: 69%	7: 54%	3: 43%
			Each Fr	ee School Meal Pup	il worth		
	25%	14%	33%	13%	9%	14%	33%
Non Free School Meals	10: 71%	6: 46%	12: 20%	2: 20%	5: 31%	6: 46%	4: 57%
			Each Non	Free School Meal P	upil worth		
	10%	17%	8%	50%	20%	17%	25%

2.	Barriers to future atta	ainment (for pupi	Is eligible for PP.	including high ability)

In-school barriers

- A. Basic Literacy skills/ oral language skills on entry to Nursery/Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows writing progress in subsequent years and writing is the barrier to GLD.
- B. Basic Literacy skills/ oral language skills impact on writing across school particularly for pupils eligible for PP.
- C. Special Educational Needs including ASD, ADHD, Learning Difficulties and other heath related issues.
- D. Behaviour issues for a small group of pupils (mostly eligible for PP) are having detrimental effect on their academic progress.

External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)

- E. | Safeguarding concerns. Unsettled family arrangements leading to social and emotional difficulties which affect their learning.
- F. Attendance of a small number of PP eligible pupils; attendance needs to be consistently tracked and interventions in place to maintain school's achievements broadly in line with national/slightly above.

3. Desired outcomes

	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
A.	Improve basic Literacy skills/oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class to impact positively on writing and so GLD.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that meet age related expectations particularly in writing
B.	Improve basic Literacy Skills/ Oral language skills for pupils KS1 and 2 to impact on writing across school	Pupils eligible for PP in KS1 & 2 make rapid progress by the end of the year to meet age related expectations particularly in writing, or close the gap further before end of Key Stage
C.	Staff further equipped to enhance progress of pupils with Special Educational Needs including ASD, ADHD, Learning Difficulties and other heath related issues. 20 of 27 pupils on SEND Register eligible for PP – 74%	Pupils with SEND eligible for PP across school will make progress so that meet age related expectations particularly in writing, or close the gap further before end of Key Stage
D.	Behavioural issues of small group of pupils across school are addressed.	Fewer behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils on the school system impacting positively on progress and attitudes
E.	Safeguarding concerns addressed effectively to ensure pupils' needs are met. Support given to families - social and emotional issues. 63% of PP pupils are vulnerable in terms of social services involvement, TAFs, SEND, PSA.	Addressing of safeguarding concerns and support for families will lead to more emotionally and behaviourally settles PP pupils, having their basic needs met, concentrating more and making progress
F.	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP and to maintain current good attendance which needs constant intervention to stay good.	Ensure number of persistent absentees reduces from 8.5% to 0. Overall PP attendance improves from 95.7% to over 96% in line with nat 'other' pupils.

Pupil Premium Data

We use Chris Quigley's Depth of Learning index to assess children. Pupil Progress meetings are held three times per year. Each child is discussed individually and assessments made on progress and attainment in Reading, Writing and Maths. The following figures show the percentage of certain groups in each cohort who are attaining national curriculum expectations (at the end of Year 2, Year 4 and Year 6) or who are on the way to so doing (at the end of Year 1, Year 3 and Year 5).

Attendance

	2016			2017				2018				
	Absence %		PA 15%		Absence %		PA.	10%	Absei	nce %	PA 10%	
	School	Nat	School	Nat	School	Nat	School	Nat	School	Nat	School	Nat
										(2017)		(2017)
FSM	4.8%	5.2%	14.0%	15.1%	4.3%	7.3%	8.5%	12.8%	4.4%	7.3%	4.8%	12.8%
All	3.3%	3.9%	9.6%	8.8%	3.7%	4.7%	6.4%	8.3%	3.6%	4.7%	3.3%	8.3%

2017 - 2018

For the fourth year, FSM pupils' level of absence remains below national. FSM level of absence reduced in 2015-2017 from previous years, staying largely the same in 2018.

Persistent absentee rate has dropped again to well below national FSM in 2017.

Early Years

Percentage of pupils achieving GLD

		2016		2017			2018		
	Cohort	School	National	Cohort	School	National	Cohort	School	National
All Pupils	13	46%	68%	12	42%	70.7%	13	62%	
Disadvantaged	4	50%	54%	7	43%		3	67%	
Non									
Disadvantaged	9	44%	72%	5	40%		10	60%	
Difference		+6			+3%			+7%	

Reception (2018) proportions reaching ARE compared to those meeting ARE on entry

		Proportion at	Proportion at
		ARE on entry	ARE at the end
			of Reception
	All	43%	69%
ing	Disadvantaged	67%	100%
Reading	Other	40%	60%
Re	Difference	0%	+40%

		Proportion at	Proportion at
		ARE on entry	ARE at the end
			of Reception
	All	43%	69%
gu	Disadvantaged	67%	67%
Writing	Other	40%	60%
N	Difference	0%	+7%

		Proportion at	Proportion at
		ARE on entry	ARE at the end
			of Reception
	All	64%	69%
8	Disadvantaged	100%	100%
Maths	Other	60%	60%
W	Difference	0%	+40%

FSM pupils achieving GLD 67%, which is above school non FSM at 60%.

Reading and Writing:

67% FSM pupils were at ARE on entry to Reception. 100% ARE end of Reception.

33% FSM converted from ARE to exceeding in reading.

Maths:

100% FSM pupils were at ARE on entry to Reception. 100% ARE end of Reception.

33% FSM converted from ARE to exceeding in maths.

FSM pupils achieved above in reading, writing and maths.

Only 3 in FSM group and no SEND.

1 FMS pupil to receive intervention for writing to ensure at ARE by end of Year 2.

Key Stage 1

Phonics screening Year 1

	2016		20	17	2018		
	% school	% National	% school	% National	% school	% National	
All pupils	67%	81%	69%	81%	50%	81% (2017)	
FSM	67%	70%	50%		44%		
Non FSM	67%	83%	78%		57%		
Difference	0%	-13%	-28%		-13%		

% not met standard by end of Year 2

	2016	2017	2018
FSM	0%	50% (1 of 2)	0%
Non FSM	0%	0%	8%

% FSM pupils achieving phonics standard is below national FSM level for whole group.

9 FSM pupils in cohort.

3 joined Spring Term 2018.

6 FSM who attended Laurel Avenue from Nursery – 67% passed even though only 33% had achieved GLD.

33% SEND did not achieve Phonics Standard.

Maximum possible number of FSM pupils who could pass phonics test did – those who didn't have SEND.

Year 2 resit – 100% passed retest (1 of 1)

Percentage of pupils attaining ARE at the End of Key Stage 1 Reading

	2016				2017			2018		
	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff	
								(2017)		
Disadvantaged	Exp: 67%	Exp: 60%	+7%	Exp: 50%	Exp: 63%	-13%	Exp: 75%	Exp: 63%	+12%	
	GD: 25%	GD: 13%	+12%	GD: 0%	GD: 14%	-14%	GD: 0%	GD: 14%	-14%	
Not	Exp: 50%	Exp: 77%	-27%	Exp: 0%	Exp: 79%	-79%	Exp: 67%	Exp: 79%	-12%	
Disadvantaged	GD: 50%	GD: 27%	-23%	GD: 0%	GD: 28%	-28%	GD: 17%	GD: 28%	+11%	
Difference	Exp:+17%	Exp -16%		Exp:+50%			Exp:+8%			
	<i>GD:</i> +25%	GD:-14%		GD: 0%			GD: -17%			

Writing

		2016			2017			2018	
	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff
								(2017)	
Disadvantaged	Exp: 67%	Exp: 53%	+14%	Exp: 38%	Exp: 54%	-16%	Exp: 50%	Exp: 54%	-4%
	GD: 0%	GD: 7%	-7%	GD: 0%	GD: 8%	-8%	GD: 0%	GD: 8%	-8%
Not	Exp: 50%	Exp: 70%	-20%	Exp: 0%	Exp: 72%	-72%	Exp: 50%	Exp: 72%	-22%
Disadvantaged	GD: 0%	GD: 15%	-15%	GD: 0%	GD: 18%	-18%	GD: 0%	GD: 18%	-18%
Difference	Exp:+17%			Exp:+38%			Exp: 0%		
	GD:0%			GD: 0%			GD: 0%		

Mathematics

		2016			2017			2018	
	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff	School	National	Diff
								(2017)	
Disadvantaged	Exp: 67%	Exp: 60%	+7%	Exp: 50%	Exp: 63%	-13%	Exp: 25%	Exp: 63%	-38%
	GD: 25%	GD: 10%	+15%	GD: 25%	GD: 11%	+14%	GD: 0%	GD: 11%	-11%
Not	Exp: 50%	Exp: 77%	-27%	Exp: 0%	Exp: 78%	-78%	Exp: 83%	Exp: 78%	+5%
Disadvantaged	GD: 50%	GD: 20%	+30%	GD: 0%	GD: 23%	-23%	GD: 25%	GD: 23%	+2%
Difference	Exp:+17%			Exp:+50%			Exp:-58%		
	GD:-25%			GD: 0%			GD: -25%		

Year 2 (2018) proportions reaching ARE from entry

Data used for end of Reception and end of Year 2 has been taken from the same group of pupils so a direct comparison can be made, giving an exact picture of how funding impacted on proportions reaching Age Related Expectations.

		Proportion above AR end of Re	RE at the	Proportion above AR at the end	E on exit
	All	50)%	69	0%
ng.	Disadvantaged	4	50%	4	75%
Reading	Other	12	50%	12	67%
Re	Difference	+(0%	+2	5%

		Proportion above AF end of Re	RE at the	Proportion above AR at the end	E on exit
	All	44	1%	50	0%
8u	Disadvantaged	4	50%	4	50%
Writing	Other	12	42%	12	50%
М	Difference	+8	8%	+(0%

Year 2 FSM

Difficult to make comparisons between FSM and NFSM groups as only 4 pupils in FSM group to 12 is NFSM.

25% increase in number of pupils at age related expectation by end of KS1 from end of Reception in reading.

Writing attainment stayed the same although more close analysis of attainment shows that the 2 pupils working below ARE are working more closely towards ARE; the one pupil who did not achieve Phonics standard end of Y1 passed end of Y2.

1 FSM pupil did not convert expected FSP outcome to expected level end of KS1. This was only missed by a small amount – scaled score of 98. Intervention planned to ensure rapid progress in lower KS2.

		Proportion above AF end of Re	RE at the	Proportion above AR at the end 2	E on exit
	All	44	!%	69	0%
8	Disadvantaged	4	50%	4	25%
Maths	Other	12	42%	12	83%
W	Difference	+8	8%	-50	8%

Key Stage 2

Percentage of pupils attaining Age Related Expectations at the end of Key Stage 2

It should be noted that as different data sets are used there can be no direct comparisons made between year groups. This section allows for a general picture of how funding may be impacting on proportions reaching Age Related Expectations.

		2016			2017			2018	
	M	aths, R & W		M	laths, R & W		1	Maths, R &	W
	School	National		School	National		School	National	
								2017	
Disadvantaged	50%	39%	+11%	71%	47%	+24%	0%	47%	-47%
Not	100%	59%	+41%	67%	67%	0%	100%	67%	+33%
Disadvantaged									
Difference	-50%			+4%			-100%		

Percentage of pupils exceeding Age Related Expectations at the end of Key Stage 2

It should be noted that as different data sets are used there can be no direct comparisons made between year groups. This section allows for a general picture of how funding may be impacting on proportions exceeding Age Related Expectations.

		2016			2017			2018	
	Ма	ths, R & W		N.	laths, R & W		N.	laths, R & 1	W
	School	National		School	National		School	National	
								2017	
Disadvantaged	20%	2%	+18%	0%	4%	-4%	0%	4%	-4%
Not	0%	7%	-7%	0%	11%	-11%	0%	11%	-11%
Disadvantaged									
Difference	+20%			0%			0%		

Year 6 (2017) proportions reaching Year 2 Reception and end of Year 6 has been taken from the same group of pupils so a direct comparison can be made, giving an exact picture of how funding impacted on proportions reaching Age Related Expectations.

As there were only 6 in the cohort we hold records within school.

Reading Whole School Picture 2017

		Proporti	on at	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportion of	at ARE on	Whole so	chool
		ARE at the	he end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end of	exit at the en	nd of Year	average	
		of Reception of Year 1			of Year 2	1	of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6				
	All	58%		46	%	44	1%	56	5%	54	1%	25	9%	69	%	5.	3%
	Disadvantaged	7 (57%) 57%		2	50%	8	50%	8	63%	7	71%	4	25%	5	60%	41	56%*
				(50%)		(63%)		(25%)		(43%)		(75%)		(50%)		(49%)	
Reading	Other	5	5 60%		64%	1	0%	8	50%	6	33%	3	33%	8	75%	42	55%
sag		(20%)		(18%)		(0%)		(25%)		(17%)		(0%)		(38%)		(17%)	
R	Difference	-3	%	-14	1%	+5	0%	+1	3%	+3	8%	-6	8%	-15	7%	+	1%

Reading Whole School Picture 2018

		Proporti ARE at t	he end	Proportion on exit at		Proportion on exit at	the end	on exit at	on at ARE the end	on exit at			on at ARE the end of	Proportion exit at the e		Whole so average	
		of Recep	tion	of Year 1		of Year 2		of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6			
	All	69%		47	'%	69	0%	40	9%	67	7%	8.	3%	67	1%	6	3%
	Disadvantaged	~		7	43%	4	75%	7	57%	11	73%	7	83%	3	33%	42	69%
.		(0%) 10 60%	(71%)		(25%)		(57%)		(27%)		(43%)		(100%)				
eading	Other		8	50%	12	67%	3	0%	4	50%	5	83%	3	100%	45	58%	
sag		(30%)		(13%)		(25%)		(33%)		(50%)		(20%)		(0%)			
Re	Difference	+4	0%	-7	%	+2	5%	+5	7%	+2	3%	0	0%	-67	7%	+,	11%

Writing Whole School Picture 2017

		Proporti	on at	Proportio	on at	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportion	at ARE on	Whole so	chool
		ARE at t	he end	ARE on e	xit at the	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end of	exit at the en	nd of Year	average	
		of Recep	tion	,		of Year 2		of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6			
	All	42%		38	2%	33	3%	63	%	31	%	25	9%	69	%	40	5%
	Disadvantaged	7 43%		2	50%	8	38%	8	75%	7	29%	4	25%	5	60%	41	46%*
		(57%)		(50%)		(63%)		(25%)		(43%)		(75%)		(50%)		(49%)	
iting	Other	5	5 40%		36%	1	0%	8	50%	6	33%	3	33%	8	75%	42	45%
		(20%)		(18%)		(0%)		(25%)		(17%)		(0%)		(38%)		(17%)	
W	Difference	+3	3%	+1	4%	+3	8%	+2.	5%	-4	%	-6	8%	-15	7%	+	1%

Writing Whole School Picture 2018

		Proporti	on at	Proportio	n at	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportion	at ARE on	Whole so	chool
		ARE at t	he end	ARE on e.	xit at the	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end of	exit at the e	nd of Year	average	
		of Recep	tion	,		of Year 2		of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6			
	All	69%		40	%	50)%	30	%	67	1 %	7.	5%	50	%	3	9%
	Disadvantaged	3 67%		7	29%	4	50%	7	43%	11	73%	7	72%	3	0%	42	31%
		(0%)		(71%)		(25%)		(57%)		(27%)		(43%)		(100%)			
iting	Other	10	1 /		50%	12	50%	3	0%	4	50%	5	80%	3	100%	45	47%
, m		(30%)		(13%)		(25%)		(33%)		(50%)		(20%)		(0%)			
N N	Difference	+7	7%	-21	1%	0:	%	+4.	3%	+2.	3%	-6	8%	-10	0%	-1	6%

Maths Whole School Picture 2017

		Proportio		Proportio	n at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	-	n at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio		Proportion		Whole so	chool
		ARE at th	ie end of	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end of	exit at the en	nd of Year	average	
		Reception	ı	of Year 1		of Year 2		of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6			
	All	58%		38	%	44	1%	63	%	54	1%	5:	7%	77	%	51	7%
	Disadvantaged	7 57%		2	50%	8	50%	8	75%	7	71%	4	50%	5	60%	41	61%*
		(57%)		(50%)		(63%)		(25%)		(43%)		(75%)		(50%)		(49%)	
S	Other	5	60%	11	36%	1	0%	8	50%	6	33%	3	33%	8	88%	42	50%
laths		(20%)		(18%)		(0%)		(25%)		(17%)		(0%)		(38%)		(17%)	
N	Difference	-3	%	+12	4%	+5	0%	+2	5%	+3	8%	+1	7%	-28	3%	+1	11%

Maths Whole School Picture 2018

		Proportio	on at	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	on at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportio	n at ARE	Proportion	at ARE on	Whole so	chool
		ARE at th	he end of	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end	on exit at	the end of	exit at the e	nd of Year	average	
		Reception	n	of Year 1		of Year 2		of Year 3		of Year 4		Year 5		6			
	All	69	%	53	%	69	0%	50	9%	67	1%	9.	2%	100	0%	6.	9%
	Disadvantaged	3	100%	7	29%	4	25%	7	57%	11	73%	7	100%	3	100%	42	69%
		(0%)		(71%)		(25%)		(57%)		(27%)		(43%)		(100%)			
S	Other	10	60%	8	75%	12	83%	3	33%	4	50%	5	80%	3	100%	45	69%
<i>faths</i>		(30%)		(13%)		(25%)		(33%)		(50%)		(20%)		(0%)			
N	Difference	+4	0%	-46	5%	-58	8%	+2	4%	+2.	3%	+2	20%	09	%	0)%

- 20 of the 32 pupils on SEND Register are eligible for PP 63% of all SEND and 48% of all FSM pupils across school.
- In most year groups, disadvantaged pupils are achieving at a higher rate in age related expectations than non-disadvantaged.
- Each cohort needs to be considered carefully as proportions of SEND can affect data SEND is indicated in purple for each cohort group.

4. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2017/18

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Review
A. Improve basic Literacy skills/oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP	Contribution towards Reception Class Teacher, 5 mornings Employment of Reception	Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: EY Intervention +6 months Reduced Class Sizes + 3 months	SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations Level of GLD	Intervention impacted positively on 100% FSM in reading and 67% (2 out of 3) of pupils in writing.
in Reception class to impact positively on writing and so GLD.	Class Teacher to work with TA on dedicated Reception teaching. Smaller numbers in Nursery environment £10,000	Historical success of this approach To meet needs of a mixed Reception/Year 1 Class with lower ability Year 1 pupil To meet the needs of large Reception cohort in their own classroom environment To raise attainment trends from Reception into Year 1 To enhance rate of progress		Teacher supports FSM in Year 1/2 for RWInc allowing smaller, more focused group teaching. This has been particularly impactful with 4 new Y1 FSM pupils working significantly below ARE, helping to fill gaps and make as much progress as rapidly as possible, including S and L/motor skills and coordination. Has also allowed TA opportunity to work with individual pupils on speech and language
	Staff training – speech and language/writing approaches with EDA in EYFS and Reception. EDA support for indoor and outdoor provision including child initiated play. All new staff, teaching and non-teaching, to receive enhanced training in	Disadvantaged pupils benefit from good quality programmes with strong educational components Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: Phonics +4 months Small Group Tuition + 4 months Feedback +8 months	Course selected using evidence of effectiveness. Use INSET days to deliver training. Peer observation of attendees' classes after the course, to embed learning (no assessment).	interventions, working closely with speech therapist and parents. Impacted positively on these FSM pupils needing support with phonics – joined school during spring term with huge gaps and although did not achieve phonics standard, made good progress on scores during the few months attending Laurel Avenue, progressing towards achieving by end of Year 2. Training completed. RWInc Leader has worked with new staff and TAs to ensure higher level of continuity impacting on teaching standards across school.

Whole school involvement 100% lesson observations of RWInc are at teaching Read, Write, Inc. least good and show consistency of delivery. including extensive phonics with ensured continuity Updated resources purchased ensuring all training and Big Maths. and progression across teaching meets demands of curriculum and all To improve impact of every year group, raising pupils are working with resources at an Teaching Assistants, standards. accurate standard for their level, ensuring teachers to work Enhanced impact of TAs maximum progress. Professional development developmentally with TAs – SMT through pupil time spent on accurate assessment of groups to ensure resources are used to maximum managing support progress meetings, impact. effectively, lesson classroom observations *Big Maths – impacted on attainment of FSM* End of year results observations, monitoring *pupils. Year 6 – 100% at ARE by end of KS2* progress rates from 33% at end of KS1. Big Maths particularly impactful on arithmetic scores £4,000 (shared with training (36, 34, 34 for 3 SEND pupils – 100% of FSM.) Across school, majority of FSM pupils *in B as reported below)* made good progress in maths. 100% Y5 and Y6. Only a small group of FSM pupils across the school – mostly pupils who joined LA during Spring Term from other schools or pupils with SEND currently working closely with Educational Psychologists/Cognitive Difficulties Team. Learning Resources SMT through pupil *To ensure meeting higher demands* Despite higher proportion of SEND within Maths, Literacy and SEND of 2014 curriculum. progress meetings, FSM group (49% SEND FSM, 17% SEND Resources selected using evidence classroom observations resources NFSM), more FSM pupils 69% are at ARE in Towards new R W Inc SENCO observations of effectiveness historically in reading (58% NFSM) and the same updated resources school. Coordinator monitoring proportion are ARE in maths. Work to be carried out to narrow the gap Resources to provide End of year results between the two groups in writing. FSM support for pupils within pupils have been assessed as having physical lessons barriers to writing which will be further addressed next year. £3,370 (shared with training *in B as reported below)*

B. Improve basic	Staff training – speech and
Literacy Skills/ Oral	language/writing
language skills for	approaches with EDA.
pupils KS1 and 2 to	All new staff, teaching and
impact on writing	non-teaching, to receive
across school	enhanced training in
	teaching Read, Write, Inc.
	including extensive phonics
	training and Big Maths.
	To improve impact of TAs,
	teachers to work
	developmentally with TAs –
	managing support
	effectively, lesson
	observations, monitoring
	progress rates
	£4,000 (shared with training
	in A as reported above)
	Learning Resources
	Maths, Literacy and SEND
	resources
	Towards new R W Inc
	updated resources
	Resources to provide
	support for pupils within
	lessons

£3,370 (shared with training

in A as reported above)

Disadvantaged pupils benefit from good quality programmes with strong educational components Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: Phonics +4 months Small Group Tuition + 4 months Feedback +8 months

Course selected using evidence of effectiveness. Use INSET days to deliver training. Peer observation of attendees' classes after the course, to embed learning (no assessment). Whole school involvement with ensured continuity and progression across every year group, raising standards. Enhanced impact of TAs SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations End of year results

Vast majority of FSM pupils made good progress in all years in writing. Those *that did not – 2 new to school in Spring* Term with gaps in learning, and remaining have SEND. Have had recent referrals with Educational Psychologist or Cognitive Difficulties Team/Occupational Therapist and have/will have strategies in place – all

SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations RW Inc Lead deliver training and effective monitoring to embed learning and identify areas for development in order to ensure consistency

> SENCO observations Coordinator monitoring End of year results

Standards in writing have been impacted by number of pupils with SEND with particular need being in writing. 20 of the 32 pupils on SEND Register are eligible for PP – 63% of all SEND and 48% of all FSM pupils across school.

100% of FSM pupils made good progress in Years 2, 3, 4, 6 in reading. 3 FSM pupils across the school did not quite make progress – already being targeted for extra support. 1 of pupils was new to school Spring Term with significant gaps.

very closely monitored and actioned through SEND support plans.

29% FSM pupils in Y5 progressed form ARE to working at greater depth in writing.

To ensure meeting higher demands of 2014 curriculum.

Resources selected using evidence of effectiveness historically in school.

Key Stage 1 and 2 Booster Support for Year 2 and 6 children in preparation for SATs support — intervention for accelerated learning before SATs £4,000 Teacher Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: Small Group Tuition + 4 month. We want to ensure that PP pupi. can achieve expected and high attainment standards; provide stretch and encouragement for these pupils.		Year 2 FSM Increase in number of pupils at age related expectation by end of KS1 from end of Reception – increase 25% in reading, achieved at same standard in writing. I FSM pupil did not achieve ARE in maths so unable to convert expected FSP to expected KS1 despite extra support and targeted work. Already targeted for lower KS2 intervention. Year 6 FSM Only 6 in cohort – evaluation held within school.
---	--	--

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Review
A. Improve basic Literacy skills/oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class to impact positively on writing and so GLD. B. Improve basic Literacy Skills/ Oral language skills for pupils KS1 and 2 to	Teaching Assistant Targeted Support £5,000	Read, Write, Inc/Literacy teaching assistant Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: EY Intervention +6 months Phonics +4 months To raise attainment in KS1 and KS2 pupils through targeted support and intervention group work focusing on basic literacy skills to impact on writing	Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations Monitor pupils selected for intervention and impact made End of year results	As above
impact on writing across school	Teaching Assistant Targeted Support, 5 mornings in Year 3 – 4 £12,000	Small group interventions with qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as the EEF Toolkit.	Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time. SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations Monitor pupils selected for intervention and impact made End of year results	

1 Impuona basis	Speech and I manage	Sutton Trust Dunil Duamin Daga l.	TA to attend training to develop	
A. Improve basic	Speech and Language	Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research:	TA to attend training to develop	
Literacy skills/oral	(Training and	Small Group Tuition + 4 months	expertise in the delivering of	
language skills for	Resources)	To raise attainment in KS2 pupils	speech and language	
pupils eligible for PP	Resources and training	through targeted support and	programmes within school,	
in Reception class to	for TA	intervention group work focusing on	screening pupil and initiating	
impact positively on		basic literacy skills to impact on	programmes or following	
writing and so GLD.	£1,000	writing. To allow smaller group	programmes provided by the	
		teaching.	speech therapist	
B. Improve basic		Improve basic Literacy skills/oral	Purchasing of appropriate	
Literacy Skills/ Oral		language skills for pupils eligible for	resources and	
language skills for		PP throughout school to impact	assessment/screening tools	
pupils KS1 and 2 to		positively on writing and speech.	TA's expertise in screening ad	
impact on writing		Previous work done in school has	delivering speech and language	
across school		shown positive impact; needs to be	programmes enhanced	
		continued for more pupils and continue	More effective use of resources	
		with those whose speech is acting as	and strategies	
		barrier to progress	Positive impact on FSM pupils'	
		current to progress	speech	
			specen	
	Pupils receive drink of	To provide pupils with essential	Raised attention, concentration	All pupils, both FSM and NFSM receive
	milk each dinner time -	nutrients and an energy boost to help	and attainment	milk.
	school food standards	children stay focused	ana anaminent	Vast majority of pupils take milk at
	School Jood Standards	Government research indicates		lunchtime. Parents have commented that
	£1,500	drinking milk impacts on standards.		they are appreciative that now their
	~1,500	an many man impacts on standards.		child will drink milk when haven't in the
				past.

Total budgeted cost £24,640

iii. Other approach	es				iii. Other approaches							
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Review							
D. Behavioural issues of small group of pupils across school are addressed.	Identify a targeted behaviour intervention for identified students. Engage with parents before intervention begins. Develop restorative approaches and focus on positive behaviours. Relax Kids (See below) Costs built into extra HLTA/TA support and EP time	The EEF Toolkit suggests that targeted interventions matched to specific students with particular needs or behavioural issues can be effective, especially for older pupils.	Ensure identification of target pupils is fair, transparent and properly recorded. Monitor behaviour but also monitor whether improvements in behaviour translate into improved attainment.	all staff. Behaviour mastaff is excell support finantallows pupils levels of suppexperiencing in behaviourd support has a to support that Relax Kids and sessions runnabefore and af Relax Kids/F on behaviour influence/useduring group at Fun Club in staff in the support of the	difficulties resulting al issues. PSA also been invaluable is – see case studies. ad Fun Club ing in school time, fer school un Club impacted e – calming of strategies by TAs work. Attendance motivated e Homework Club							
E. Safeguarding concerns addressed effectively to ensure pupils' needs are met. Support given to families concerning social and emotional issues.	Additional costs for HLTA HLTA employed to work primarily in Year 1/2 to raise standards, support high level of SEND/need.	Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: Small Group Tuition + 4 months Social and emotional learning +4 months To raise attainment in Y1/Y2 class through targeted support and intervention group work	Effective communication with HLTA and SMT Use of CPOMS SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations	with families 100% of Core meetings are high number.	es support working alongside HT so e Group and TAF attended despite the 63% of PP pupils F/Core Group							

63% of PP pupils are vulnerable in terms of social services involvement, TAFs, SEND, PSA.	HLTA to attend safeguarding meetings £4,500 CPOMs Safeguarding tracking and monitoring system £600	To meet the large variety of needs within Y1/Y2 class (SEN specialism) Lead Relax Kids/Fun Club for targeted pupils Work in partnership with HT as above national average of number of families who have involvement with Social Services, Family Support Workers, Parent Support Advisors, Domestic Abuse Services, Counselling and other related agencies. 63% of PP pupils are vulnerable in terms of social services involvement, TAFs, SEND, PSA. To embed CPOMS into school's daily life; supporting with recording on safeguarding, attendance, incidents with pupils/parents as well as recording SEND information and contact with outside agencies. Reporting feature used to complete reports for Governors etc.	Safeguarding meetings – conferences, looked after reviews, TAFs End of year results Effective communication between staff Effective monitoring and tracking records SMT through pupil progress meetings, classroom observations Safeguarding meetings – conferences, looked after reviews, TAFs End of year results	CPOMS fully embedded within school and used by all staff effectively. More effective and timely sharing of information, particularly with PSA who is only on site 10% of week — ensures consistent reporting and information sharing. Effective support for individual FSM pupils including providing evidence where necessary for referrals and statements.
	PSA employed as part of COL Contribution with other schools in COL 13 for PSA who works with families. This support continues to be valuable to both parents and children,	63% of PP pupils are vulnerable in terms of social services involvement, TAFs, SEND, PSA. Families need support for social and emotional issues – their own and that of their children. Historically, PSA has provided excellent support and worked in partnership with school to support families towards more positive outcomes	SMT through meetings in school Safeguarding meetings – conferences, looked after reviews, TAFs End of year results	

	leading many Team Around the Family, Team Around the Child meetings and CAF meetings, involving outside agencies where appropriate. £3,000 Marvellous Me Purchase of whole school app Marvellous Me £550	Increase Parental Engagement Marvellous Easily will: Tell parents what their children are learning and includes home tips, photos, videos and voice. Award badges Drive positive behaviour and recognise success. Customise badges and add a comment with each one. Message parents Broadcast messages to all parents, or send personal ones. Your details are kept secret and replies locked down. Get insight Track which children are doing well and easily spot those needing help to develop certain skills. See which parents are reading your news and thanking you with 'Hi5s'.	SMT tracking system in Marvellous Me Discussions with pupils and parents/carers	PSA continues to work closely with families and individual pupils on a needs basis, supporting referrals to outside agencies as well as ongoing support. Attends TAFS. Works individually with pupils in school. Has been vital to support a number of families this year — see case studies. Feedback from parents is excellent — feel more informed about what's happening in classrooms and events going on. Know when children have achieved well so can ask them about it. Has worked extremely well with individual FSM pupils to give and receive immediate positive feedback to parents/carers/other family members where pupils respond positively to Hi 5s coming back from family members as part of agreements, motivating pupils to stay on track.
F. Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP and to maintain	£1000 used for prizes and incentives for positive attendance and progress and as a	We can't improve attainment for children if they aren't actually attending school. NfER briefing for	Headteacher and staff will collaborate to ensure provision and standard school processes work smoothly together	For the fourth year, FSM pupils' level of absence remains below national. FSM level of absence reduced in 2015 – 2017 from

current good attendance.	reward for achieving targets set	school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step Individual targets set with prizes has worked positively historically especially in preventing persistent absenteeism	Early intervention of a positive and supportive nature	previous years, staying largely the same in 2018. School FSM absence – 4.4%, way below national (2017) of 7.3%. Persistent absentee rate has dropped again to well below national FSM in 2017. School FSM PA – 4.8% (2 pupils, 1 new to school attending only half the year and illness related absence including chicken pox.) Well below national (2017) of 12.8%.
ABDE	Homework Club After School Provision + resources Laurel Learners Homework Clubs, KS1 and KS2 Science Club KS1 and 2 Mentor/ Booster Intervention Strong link to curriculum with well qualified staff One to one and small group tuition Homework books and resources £4,000	Sutton Trust Pupil Premium Research: After School Programmes +2 months Homework +2 months Small Group Tuition + 4 months Support given to complete homework and progress learning Homework groups with good ratio of pupils to staff (TAs) as well as one to one or small group tuition will promote progress Positive attitude to homework Effective booster activities to support revision – building on feedback for pupils' progress Pupils taught effective homework strategies that can be used at home To encourage good attitudes to homework, used to support learning and increase attainment To encourage effective learning through homework activities, offering school	Raised attainment More positive attitudes to homework and higher rate of completion in all classes	79% PP pupils attend homework club regularly – vast majority every week. Much higher rate of homework completion. Homework Club used to successfully support all pupils who are involved in TAF Higher standard of returned homework Parents during TAF meetings comment positively on impact not having homework to complete at home and negative behaviours associated with this has on family life. 95% attend Science club sessions across the year and thoroughly enjoy science, increasing attitudes towards science as well as support towards achieving curriculum standards.

Homework is based on short focused tasks which relate directly to what is being taught, and which are built upon in school	tal budgeted cost	£13,650
environment and resources one day each week Homework resources encourage greater parental to increase parental engagement.		